

MMP Learning Seminar, Week 87:

Boundedness of exceptional Fano varieties.

Theorem (Hacon - Xu): Fix $I \subseteq [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$ satisfying the DCC.

Let d be a positive integer. Assume that:

- (X, B) is a d -dim klt pair,
- the coeff of B are in I ,
- the divisor B is big, and
- $K_X + B \sim_{\mathbb{R}, 0}$.

Global ACC implies
that the index of $K_X + B$
is controlled in terms of
 I & d .

Then, X belongs to a bounded family.

the log discs
are bounded away
from 0.

Theorem 1.4: Let d be a natural number. Let $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$.

Consider the set of pairs (X, B) such that:

- (X, B) is ε -lc & d -dimensional,
- B is big & $K_X + B \sim_{\mathbb{R}, 0}$, and
- coeff $B \geq \delta$.

Then such set of X 's forms a bounded family.

Remark: A Fano type variety belongs to a bounded family
if it admits a bounded klt complement.

$\underline{\text{def}}$ $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} X \text{ is exceptional Fano, for every } 0 \leq B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -K_X \text{ the} \\ \text{pair } (X, B) \text{ is klt. } \xrightarrow{\quad} \text{is } \varepsilon\text{-klt (for some } \varepsilon \text{ only dep on } d\text{)} \end{array} \right.$

$\gamma\text{-lc}$ $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1-mK_X \text{ defines a birational map for some } c \text{ that} \\ \text{only depends on } \gamma \text{ & } d. \quad 0 \leq I' \in 1-mK_X, \quad (X, I'/m) \\ \text{is a klt } m\text{-complement.} \quad m(K_X + I'/m) \sim 0 \end{array} \right.$

$\gamma\text{-lc}$ $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Apply HX to conclude that } X \text{ belongs to a bounded family} \end{array} \right.$

$\text{not } \gamma\text{-lc}$ $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} Y \xrightarrow{\phi} X, \text{ that extracts a prime divisor } D \subseteq \gamma. \\ \phi^* K_X = K_Y + cD, \quad c > 1-\gamma. \\ \rightarrow (K_Y + cD) \text{ -MMP. terminate with } Y' \rightarrow Z'. \\ \text{and } K_{Y'} + \tilde{e}D' \text{ is trivial for this morphism. } \tilde{e} \geq e. \end{array} \right.$

$\left(* \right)$ $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Apply 1.4 to show that } \tilde{e} \text{ belongs to a finite family.} \\ \text{If } \dim Z' > 0, \text{ then we can } \underline{\text{pull-back a comp from } Z'}. \\ \text{If } \dim Z' = 0, \text{ then we can apply HX to conclude that} \\ Y', \text{ hence } Y \& X \text{ belong to a bounded family} \end{array} \right.$

$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{Needs complements in } \dim \leq d-1. \\ \downarrow \\ \text{Needs relative complements in } \dim \leq d. \end{array} \right\} \text{This is what we will cover next week.}$

Theorem 1.11: Let d & p be natural numbers. $\mathcal{R} \subseteq [0, 1]$ be finite.

Let $(X, B+M)$ be a generalized Fano type pair. The divisor pM' is nef & Cartier. The coeff of B are in $\Phi(\mathcal{R}) = \left\{ 1 - \frac{r}{m} \mid r \in \mathcal{R}, m \geq 0 \right\} \cup \{1\}$. And $\underline{(X, B+M)}$ is exceptional. Then the pairs (X, B) belong to a bounded family.

Lemma 1: There $\varepsilon_{\geq 0}$ only depending on the previous data such that

if $0 \leq p_{\sim_X} - (K_X + B + M)$, then $(X, B + P + M)$ is ε -glc.

Proof: $(X_i, B_i + P_i + M_i)$ is ε_i -glc with $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0$.

$$X_i' \xrightarrow{\varphi_i} X_i \text{ extracting } D_i'. \quad \varphi_i^*(K_{X_i'} + B_i' + P_i' + M_i') = K_{X_i} + B_i + P_i + (1 - \varepsilon_i)D_i' + M_i.$$

Find a new model $X_i' \dashrightarrow X_i''$
↑ here

$(X_i'', B_i'' + D_i'' + M_i'')$ is glc
 $-(K_{X_i''} + B_i'' + D_i'' + M_i'')$ is semiample.

$\begin{cases} \text{bounded comp} \implies \text{bounded non-kill comp} \\ \text{for } (X_i, B_i + M_i) \end{cases}$ □

Remark: Even if $(X, B+M)$ is exceptional Fano type it could happen that X is not exceptional.

Ex: $(\mathbb{P}', \{0\} + \{00\})$, $(\mathbb{P}', \frac{2}{3}\{0\} + \frac{3}{4}\{1\} + \frac{4}{5}\{00\})$

↓ log Fano exceptional.

Lemma 2: Let X be a Fano type variety & \mathbb{Q} -factorial.

- $(K_X + B)$ is nef. $D \neq 0$ effective on X . Then, there exists a $(-D)$ -MMP ending with a non-bir contraction $X' \rightarrow T'$ s.t
- $(K_{X'} + B' + tD')$ is globally nef & numerically trivial over T' for some $t \geq 0$. Furthermore, the intersection of $K_X + B + tD$ with each extremal ray of this MMP is non-neg.

Sketch: $s \geq 0$ the largest number for which $-(K_X + B + sD)$ is nef.

$(K_X + B + sD) \cdot R > 0$, $D \cdot R > 0$, R is extremal.

Proceeding inductively, we are getting a $(-D)$ -MMP. \square .

Lemma 3: Assume boundedness of complements in $\dim d-1$ & boundedness of relative complements in $\dim d$. Then boundedness of exceptional Fans hold in $\dim d$.

Proof: X_i s.t. $a_i = \min \log \text{discr of } X_i \rightarrow 0$.

$$X_i' \xrightarrow{\varphi_i} X_i, \quad \varphi_i^* K_{X_i} = K_{X_i'} + e_i D_i', \quad e_i \text{ close to 1. } D_i' \neq 0$$

Apply Lemma 2 to D_i' .

$$X_i' \dashrightarrow X_i'' \xrightarrow{\psi_i} T_i \quad \text{non-bir contraction}$$

$\rightarrow (K_{X_i''} + e_i D_i'' + t_i D_i'')$ is globally nef

& numerically trivial over T_i for some $t_i \geq 0$

Applying 1.4 to the general fibers of ψ_i we conclude that $e_i + t_i$ belong to a finite set.

If $\dim T_i \geq 0$, we can lift a complement from T_i by using the cbf.

If $\dim T_i = 0$, we conclude that X_i'' belongs to a bounded family by using HX.

By pulling back to X_i we obtain a bounded klt complement on X_i . \square

\times Fano type, $(X, B+M)$ gen klt exceptional.

coeff $B \in \Phi(\mathbb{R})$

pM is b-nef & Cartier.

X d-dimensional

Lemma 4 (Bound on exc thresholds):

$(X, B+\beta M)$ is exceptional for β close enough to 1.

Proof: $(X_i, B_i + \beta_i M_i)$ not exc $\beta_i \rightarrow 1$.

$(X_i, B_i + \beta_i M_i + P_i)$ not gen klt. P_i is nef.

$t_i = \text{lct } (X_i, B_i + \beta_i M_i; P_i) \leq 1$. $\Omega_i = B_i + t_i P_i$

$(X'_i, \Omega'_i + \beta_i M'_i) \rightarrow (X_i, \Omega_i + \beta_i M_i)$.

$\boxed{\Omega'_i \neq \emptyset}$

We can increase $\beta_i \rightarrow 1$. and keep the glc condition and anti-nefness in a diff birational model.

$X'_i \dashrightarrow X''_i$ $(X''_i, \Omega''_i + M''_i)$ is glc
&
 $-(K_{X_i} + \Omega''_i + M''_i)$ is nef.
 \hookrightarrow thus admits a comp.

This induces a non glc comp for $(X_i, B_i + M_i)$ $\rightarrow \leftarrow$ \square

Lemma 5 (boundedness of volume):

$(X, B+M)$ gen. klt., $K_X + B + M \sim_{\mathbb{R}, 0}$. Then $\text{vol}(-K_X) \leq v$.

Proof: $\text{vol}(-K_{X_i}) \longrightarrow \infty$

||

∨:

X_i is \mathbb{Q} -factorial.

$K_{X_i} + \text{adj} M_i$ is big \leftarrow follows from cone Theorem

$$\text{vol}(-K_{X_i}) < \text{vol}(-K_{X_i} + K_{X_i} + \underbrace{\text{adj} M_i}_{\text{big}}) = \text{vol}(\text{adj} M_i)$$

$$\text{vol}(S_i M_i) > (2\delta)^d \quad \text{for } S_i \longrightarrow 0. \quad \alpha_i = 1 - \delta_i$$

$$\text{vol}(-(K_{X_i} + B_i + \alpha_i M_i)) > (2\delta)^d.$$

↓
not exceptional



$(X_i, B_i + M_i)$ is exceptional

□

Lemma 6 (Bound on log canonical thresholds):

Fix $d, p, l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\Phi \subseteq [0, 1]$. $(X, B+M)$ is exceptional pair & X is Fano type. Then for any $L \in \mathcal{I} - \mathcal{L}(K_X)$. Then (X, tL) is klt for some $t \geq t(d, p, l, \Phi)$.

Rem: X may not be exceptional !!

Proof: (X_i, B_i+M_i) as in the statement.

$(X_i, t_i L_i)$ not klt, $t_i \rightarrow 0$.

$$(*) \quad K_{X_i} + 3dp M_i \sim_{\alpha} \left[-\frac{1}{l} L_i + 3dp M_i \right] \text{ is big}$$

$(X_i, B_i + \beta_i M_i)$ is exceptional.

$$\boxed{s_i} = \text{glt}((X_i, B_i + \beta_i M_i); L_i). \quad \text{Assume } \boxed{s_i < \frac{l-\beta}{3dp}} \quad (**)$$

Study $(X_i, B_i + s_i L_i + \beta_i M_i)$.

$$-(K_{X_i} + B_i + s_i L_i + \beta_i M_i) = -\underbrace{(K_{X_i} + B_i + M_i)}_{\text{ps eff}} + \underbrace{((1-\beta_i) M_i - s_i L_i)}_{\text{big}}$$

big.

$$0 \leq P_i \sim_R -(K_{X_i} + B_i + s_i L_i + \beta_i M_i)$$

$(X_i, B_i + s_i L_i + \beta_i M_i + P_i)$ is not gklt

this contradicts the exceptionality of $(X_i, B_i + \beta_i M_i)$

□

Summary. X Fano type. $(X, B+M)$ exceptional.

- X is d -dim
- $\text{coeff}(B) \in \Phi(R)$
- pM is b-nef & Cartier.

- $(X, B+\beta M)$ is exceptional for β close to 1.
- $\text{Vol}(-K_X) \leq v.$
- The log canonical thresholds of elements in $l - lK_X$ are controlled away from zero for l fixed.

Proposition: Assume existence of rel comp in $\dim \leq d$ &

existence of proj complements in $\dim \leq d-1$

Fix $d, m, v \in \mathbb{N}$ & t_ℓ a sequence of reals.

Let \mathcal{P} be the set of varieties X s.t:

- X is weak Fano of dim d , ✓
- K_X has a m -complement,
- $1-mK_X$ defines a bir map, ⇐ } produce a log bounded family
- $\text{vol}(-K_X) \leq v$ ⇐ }
- for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$, and any $L \in \text{I-}R(K_X)$, the pair $(X, t_\ell L)$ is xlt

Then \mathcal{P} forms a bounded family

Sketch: Step 1: We use 3+4 to show that there exists a lg bir bounded family

$$(\bar{W}, \sum^r \bar{w}) \dashrightarrow X.$$

$M \in \text{I-}mK_X$ general, $\text{Supp } \sum^r \bar{w}$ contains $\text{Supp } M$

$$M_{\bar{w}} = A_{\bar{w}} + R_{\bar{w}}.$$

Step 2: We may assume $B^+ = \frac{1}{m} A + \frac{1}{m} R$.

Step 3: $\lambda A_{\bar{w}} \sim G_{\bar{w}} \geq 0$ containing $\sum^r \bar{w}$ in its supp.

$$\lambda A \sim G \geq 0. \quad G + \lambda R \in \text{I-}l m K_X.$$

$$(X, t(G + \lambda R)) \text{ where } t = t_{lm}.$$

- $(X, \frac{1}{\ell_m}(G + \ell R))$ is lc, $\Omega = \frac{1}{\ell_m}(G + \ell R)$.
- $(X, t(G + \ell R))$ is not lc, strongly non-exc,

n -comp
 Ω .

there exists a n -complement.

$$\boxed{\Omega} \ni t(G + \ell R), \quad (X, \Omega) \text{ is lc} \quad n(K_X + \Omega) \sim 0.$$

Step 4 & 5: $\Delta = B^f + \frac{t}{m} A - \boxed{\left[\frac{t}{\ell_m} G \right]}$ sub- ε -lc complement.

$$(H) = \frac{1}{2}\Delta + \frac{1}{2}\Omega. \quad \cancel{\text{sub-klt complement}}$$

We obtained a bounded klt comp for X . \square .

Theorem: Assume existence of rel comp in $\dim \leq d$ & existence of proj complements in $\dim \leq d-1$

Then d -dim exc gen Fano type pairs $(X, B+M)$ satisfy that (X, B) is log bounded

Sketch: Step 1: We may assume coeff B are finite + it suffices to prove that X is bounded

Step 2: It suffices to produce a bounded klt comp for X .

Step 3: Create a complement for X ,

$X \in \text{lc}$, $| -mK_X |$ defines a birational map.

$$\phi^*(-mK_X) \sim A + R.$$

Step 4: $\Delta = \frac{1}{2}B + \frac{1}{2m}R$. & $N = \frac{1}{2}M + \frac{1}{2m}A$

Case 1: $(X, \Delta+N)$ is exceptional } \longrightarrow reduce to the
 $| -r(K_X + \Delta + N) |$ is birational } case in which
 $K_X + B + M \sim_{\mathbb{R}, 0}$
 M big.

Case 2: $(X, \Delta+N)$ is not exc } we can produce a bounded comp

Step 5: $(X, B + M)$ gen κ lt, $K_X + B + M \sim_{\mathbb{R}} 0$.

M is big

- K_X has a m -comp.
- $l-mK_X|$ defines a bir map.
- $V_0(-lK_X) \leq V$.
- there exist t_ℓ s.t. for any $L \in l - lK_X$
 $(X, t_\ell L)$ is κ lt

X belongs
to a bounded
family.

□.

Section: Comp in dim $d-1 \implies$ Relative comp in dim d .